

Reviews and Essays

Antipodal paradoxes: reading power through the eye of the needle

PATATRI BAIDYA



Baidya, P. (2025) Antipodal paradoxes: reading power through the eye of the needle. *Fennia* 302(2) 246–252 <https://doi.org/10.11143/fennia.163785>



A childhood observation from a rickshaw in Kolkata (where expensive cars traveled below crowded buses) revealed something troubling about how we understand spatial power. This paper introduces ‘antipodal paradoxes’ to describe situations where power operates through spatial contradictions rather than confirmations of our hierarchical expectations. The piece has drawn on fieldwork in the Sundarbans to strengthen my argument that those positioned as marginal observers develop ‘inversional literacy’, which is the ability to read power dynamics through their spatial contradictions. Development interventions meant to ‘elevate’ communities often create systematic inversions where supposed beneficiaries become burden bearers. These insights help cultural geography to recognize that our vertical metaphors for authority may systematically obscure actual spatial operations of power, particularly dynamics visible from positions that dominant systems dismiss as peripheral.

Keywords: Antipodal paradoxes; spatial power; inversional literacy; marginal perspectives; cultural geography

Patatri Baidya (<https://orcid.org/0009-0007-6126-309X>), School of Agriculture and Rural Development, Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda Educational and Research Institute, India. E-Mail: baidyapatatri@gmail.com

Perspective of a toddler

I was probably three years old when I first encountered what would become the most persistent puzzle of my academic life. It was summer 2005, and I was riding a rickshaw through congested streets of Kolkata with my mother. From my perch on the cracked vinyl seat, something about the urban landscape struck me as fundamentally wrong. I observed that above us, public buses towered like metal mountains, packed with passengers who had paid mere rupees for their journey. Whereas below there were sleek cars glided in air-conditioned privacy, carrying single occupants who had spent exponentially more for their mobility. This troubled me in the way only childhood logic can be

troubled — should not expensive things be positioned higher up? Was that not how hierarchies worked? The contradiction hit me hard, making me question why such inversions in structure could exist. I asked my mother about this with the innocent directness only children possess, genuinely confused about these spatial hierarchies. She laughed whimsically, a sound I can still hear decades later and said something that would echo through all my subsequent thinking:

Sometimes the world becomes another place of reversal of role-play! Be it your actions, positions, and such structures. The world is not arranged the way it should be. But that is always how we perceive it.

Years later, I understood that this was one of the most subtle mechanisms of power dynamics in reality.

The paradox of spatial power

What that three-year-old observed was not an accident of urban planning but a fundamental challenge to how we understand relationship of power to space. Our language of authority is often saturated with verticality. We speak of 'climbing ladders', 'reaching the top', 'upper' classes and 'lower' orders. These spatial metaphors feel so natural that we rarely question their accuracy, but what if they systematically obscure more than they reveal? The transport hierarchy I witnessed reveals what I call antipodal paradoxes, which illustrates situations where power operates through spatial contradictions rather than confirmations of our hierarchical expectations. The term 'antipodal' captures the sense of diametrically opposed positions, while 'paradoxes' acknowledges the cognitive dissonance these contradictions create. These are not mere anomalies but systematic inversions that expose the gap between how we imagine power should flow through space and how it actually operates. For instance, consider what the bus passengers achieved through their 'lower' economic positioning. Despite paying the least, they occupied vantage points that car owners could never access. They could survey traffic patterns, anticipate congestion, and move through the city with a kind of spatial knowledge that expensive vehicles could not provide. The supposed 'height' of economic privilege trapped car passengers in narrow lanes of vision, while the economic 'bottom' enjoyed literal and metaphorical oversight of urban movement.

This pattern extends far beyond urban transport. Colonial frameworks have systematically inverted knowledge hierarchies, positioning those with extractive power at supposed 'heights' of authority while marginalizing communities whose deep ecological understanding challenges dominant spatial assumptions (Smith 1999). Communities labeled as 'underdeveloped' or positioned at the 'bottom' of progress narratives often possess sophisticated spatial knowledge that contradicts the very hierarchies used to dismiss them. The recent prioritization of traditional ecological knowledge within decolonial approaches reveals another antipodal paradox: indigenous communities, positioned as 'backward' in development discourse, offer environmental insights that supposedly 'advanced' societies desperately need (Escobar 2008). What appears as marginality from one perspective becomes centrality from another, where those excluded from the 'heights' of modern knowledge systems possess understanding that challenges the sustainability of those very heights.

This brings us to a crucial question: who actually perceives these inversions of predetermined power imbalances and rigid role structures? To understand this, I turn to a Bengali idiom that captures precisely what my childhood observation achieved: খড়ের মধ্যে সূঁচ খোঁজা, which literally means 'finding the needle in the haystack'. Yet, this expression carries deeper implications than its English equivalent. In Bengali, it suggests not just locating something small and hidden, but perceiving subtle forces that others overlook entirely. The needle, though tiny, can pierce what appears solid; the haystack, though massive, contains spaces for the sharp and slender to navigate. This metaphor illustrates why certain observers notice antipodal paradoxes while others remain blind to them. Those positioned within the 'haystack' of dominant perspectives and comfortable in their assumptions about how power should be distributed spatially, miss the needle-sharp insights available from more precarious positions. Yet those of us navigating the narrow spaces between official hierarchies develop what we might call inversional literacy, which is the ability to read power dynamics through their spatial contradictions

rather than their apparent confirmations. The question of observation becomes crucial here: whose perspectives penetrate the solid assumptions of spatial power? In my experience, it is often those positioned as marginal observers, such as children who have not yet learned to ignore contradictions, women whose spatial mobility reveals different urban geographies, migrants navigating between different spatial logics, people from the Global South whose perspectives challenge metropolitan assumptions about space and power (Hooks 1984; Haraway 1988). We notice inversions precisely because we are not invested in maintaining the fiction that spatial metaphors accurately represent power relations. These marginal positions are not inherently superior vantage points, but they offer angles of vision that dominant perspectives systematically obscure. This inversional literacy determines whose observations matter, whose spatial knowledge counts as legitimate, and who gets to challenge the pragmatic ideologies that society takes for granted (Rose 1997; Collins 2000).

The Sundarbans as antipodal space

These theoretical insights became vivid during my master's fieldwork in the Sundarbans. I was there to investigate agricultural adaptation under the fierce, unstable conditions of water scarcity and how these conditions were impacting already overburdened rural women. What I encountered there, were layers of antipodal paradoxes that my childhood rickshaw observation had prepared me to recognize. I will illuminate these through five photographs that demonstrate how antipodal paradoxes operate in our most mundane lives by revealing power inversions in ways that are both understated and profound.

When I woke up at 5 AM on my third day in the village, I encountered the first visible manifestation of antipodal paradox. A little girl, maybe seven years old, was dozing against the hand pump, her small body curled in exhaustion (Figure 1). I asked her why she was out here on such a cold morning, sleeping like this. She rubbed her eyes and tiredly said it was a command from her mother, who had a tea stall just beside my hotel, to get some water from the hand pump. The little girl had been trying since dawn to extract water from the elevated infrastructure that promised modernity and progress for her community. When I asked if it was to any avail after so many efforts, she merely shrugged and said the condition is worse in summer, "Maybe this time the hope is a little bit more".



Fig. 1. Waiting for water. A young girl dozing against the village hand pump after repeated attempts to draw water on a cold morning.

The image captures more than infrastructure failure. It reveals how spatial logic of development inverts itself in practice. The hand pump, which is literally elevated as a symbol of technological advancement, becomes a site of depletion for those positioned 'lowest' in social hierarchies. While development discourse celebrates lifting communities 'up', this child finds herself brought 'down' by the very technology meant to elevate her circumstances. The supposed height of modern water access remains unreachable by leaving her exhausted at its base.

Ironically, while listening to the story of the little girl, I came across a weathered memorial plaque half-buried in vegetation just beside the hand pump. It was labeled 'জল সেবা' — 'water service' in English (Figure 2). The inscription celebrated international donors who had funded the hand pump installation, promising lasting improvement to the community. The plaque lay broken beside the very infrastructure it commemorated, both victims of the same forces: the gap between external promises and local realities.



Fig. 2. Broken promises. A weathered plaque inscribed 'জল সেবা' (water service) lying beside the defunct hand pump it once commemorated.

This image captures the complete collapse of developmental spatial metaphors. The memorial plaque, designed to commemorate the 'elevation' of the community through international aid, now lies degraded at ground level — both metaphorically and literally. Both the commemoration of 'high-level' intervention and the technology it celebrates have been literally 'brought low', while the communities they were meant to serve continue their daily water management around these monuments to failed uplift.

Later that morning, while going to village for documenting the community's water management strategies, I watched women wade into the swamp-filled pond behind their homes. Their metal utensils disappeared beneath the green surface as they sank deeper, searching for the least contaminated water they could find (Figure 3). The absurdity struck me immediately. These women were literally descending to access water while the 'advanced' hand pump stood elevated and empty above them.



Fig. 3. Descending for water. Metal vessels vanishing beneath the swamp's surface as women search for the least contaminated water.

This moment crystallized the complete inversion of spatial promises of developmental agencies. Communities supposedly ‘elevated’ by modern infrastructure found themselves forced into increasingly precarious positions. The women's descent into polluted water represents the literal manifestation of how ‘uplift’ narratives collapse. That is when higher-level interventions fail and communities must sink lower than before to survive.

Another striking image came later that afternoon when I discovered an elderly woman sitting by the same swamp-filled pond with a fishing rod in hand (Figure 4). Somehow, her positioning transformed the site of water scarcity into a source of sustenance. It reveals how those deemed ‘lowest’ in development hierarchies possess adaptive knowledge that enables survival where ‘higher-level’ interventions have failed.



Fig. 4. Fishing in scarcity. An elderly woman turning a swamp filled pond, symbol of water crisis, into a site of sustenance.

Consequently, this was antipodal paradox in its most profound form, where this woman was positioned at the intersection of multiple 'low' categories in development discourse — elderly, rural, female — demonstrated sophisticated ecological knowledge that enabled her to extract sustenance from what external experts would dismiss as a degraded environment. While development frameworks mourned the 'fall' from clean to contaminated water, she had adapted to make the contaminated water productive. Therefore, her fishing transformed the site of infrastructure failure into a space of resourcefulness by revealing how those positioned 'below' in social hierarchies often possess the adaptive capacities that enable community survival.

The next morning, I encountered two girls walking in the opposite direction from the village school (Figure 5). They carried large plastic containers and moved with the determined pace of those who had important work to do. When I asked where they were going, the older girl, maybe twelve, explained they had to fetch water quickly from the almost dilapidated single source of water — the one hand pump in the village — for their family, "or else it will be a problem to fetch water if everyone takes the water before us." When I expressed concern that they might get late for school, they dismissed it by saying they need to prioritize what is important at the moment.



Fig. 5. Choosing water over school. Two young girls carrying plastic containers to fetch water before the village hand pump runs dry.

Here was perhaps the cruelest spatial inversion, where girls were forced to abandon the very institutions that development rhetoric celebrates as pathways to social mobility. The promise was that modern infrastructure would free children from domestic labor, enabling their educational 'ascent.' Instead, failed water systems expanded their domestic responsibilities and making school less accessible than before the intervention. The path to education and the path to water collection led in opposite directions—and survival needs determined which direction they would take.

What emerged from these five encounters was systematic spatial inversion rather than simply infrastructure failure. Every element of the development framework — from technological solutions positioned as 'advanced' to international interventions celebrated as 'higher-level' support — operated through contradictions of its own spatial logic. Communities positioned as 'beneficiaries' became burden-bearers; children meant to be 'lifted up' by modern infrastructure found themselves exhausted by its failures; women who should be 'empowered' by technological interventions faced increased labor and risk; yet within these inversions, those positioned 'lowest' demonstrated adaptive knowledge that enabled survival where 'higher' interventions failed.

Conclusion

Returning to the fundamental question: who actually sees the inversion of power in daily life? The answer points toward an uncomfortable truth. It is often those who bear the burden of these inversions who develop the clearest vision of how power actually operates. The trajectory of development policies creates what we might call 'spatially aware subjects': communities positioned to see the gaps between promised elevation and lived reality. Those who experience the weight of failed infrastructure, who navigate between official hierarchies and survival necessities, who occupy the spaces where spatial metaphors break down, develop this acute awareness not by choice but by necessity. The ubiquitous nature of these antipodal paradoxes creates a peculiar form of social consciousness. The toddler in that rickshaw stands at the threshold of recognizing the unconventional nature of how spatial and social power structures actually function. Each small component of power becomes a questionable element in the array of mundane reality: from transport hierarchies to water infrastructure, from development monuments to daily survival practices.

This is why the view from the rickshaw (narrow, precarious, positioned between dominant categories) reveals what broader, more comfortable perspectives cannot access. Those who navigate the contradictions of spatial power develop inversional literacy not by choice, but by necessity. The needle-sharp observations that emerge from these positions pierce through the solid assumptions of how power should flow through space to expose how it actually operates. For cultural geography, this suggests that understanding spatial power requires methodological approaches that privilege these marginal vantage points — not because they are inherently superior, but because they reveal dynamics that dominant perspectives systematically obscure. Twenty years after that childhood observation, I recognize it as more than innocent curiosity. It was an invitation to read space differently, to develop analytical tools that could account for power's contradictory spatial operations rather than its idealized presentations. Sometimes the world is not arranged the way we think it should be. Sometimes that is exactly what we need to understand how it actually functions.

Acknowledgement

I extend my deepest gratitude to my mother, whose wisdom that "sometimes the world is not arranged the way we think it should be" provided the foundational insight for this work. Her encouragement to question rather than accept spatial hierarchies continues to shape my analytical approach. I am equally grateful to the communities in the Sundarbans who generously shared their experiences and allowed me to witness the daily realities of spatial contradictions.

Declaration of conflicting interest

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest. No funding was received for this research.

References

- Collins, P. H. (2000) *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment*. Routledge, New York.
- Escobar, A. (2008) *Territories of Difference: Place, Movements, Life, Redes*. Duke University Press, Durham, NC. <https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822389439>
- Haraway, D. (1988) Situated knowledges: the science question in feminism and the privilege of partial perspective. *Feminist Studies* 14(3) 575–599. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3178066>
- hooks, b. (1984) *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center*. South End Press, Boston.
- Rose, G. (1997) Situating knowledges: positionality, reflexivities and other tactics. *Progress in Human Geography* 21(3) 305–320. <https://doi.org/10.1191/030913297673302122>
- Smith, L. T. (1999) *Decolonizing Methodologies: Research and Indigenous Peoples*. Zed Books, London.